

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/695,788 | 10/30/2003 | Isao Matsui | 07906.0019 | 7740 |
| 22852 | 7590 07/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER | | | WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P | |
| | LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | 1742 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/695,788 | MATSUI, ISAO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | George P. Wyszomierski | 1742 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 February 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| ·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the appli | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are with | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
|)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/695,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-013511.

The JP '511 reference discloses pyrolyzing a transition metal carbonyl in the presence of another gas such as hydrogen, carbon monoxide, or an inert gas such as nitrogen, to form particles. With respect to instant claim 14, operational example 1 of the prior art uses iron carbonyl. With respect to instant claims 15 and 16, the carbonyls recited in the instant claims are within the purview of the transitional metal carbonyls employed in the '511 process. With respect to instant claim 17, JP '511 discloses controlling the relative flow rates of the carbonyl and the other gas; any resultant effect on particle diameter would be the same in either the prior art or the claimed invention.

The JP '511 reference does not disclose using the produced particles as a catalyst in a reaction that inhibits the pyrolyzing step, as required by the instant claims. However, the actual chemical reactions that occur in JP '511 appear to be identical or substantially so to those that occur in the present invention. Compare, for instance, equations 1, 2 and 3 of the present specification to the chemical reactions described at pages 6-8 and 11 of the translation of JP '511. It is a reasonable assumption that any effects, catalytic or otherwise, on the rates of the pyrolyzing reaction would likewise be the same in either the prior art or the claimed invention, given that the reactants and reaction conditions may be the same.

Art Unit: 1742

Consequently, a prima facie case of obviousness is established between the disclosure of JP '511 and the presently claimed invention.

3. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest a process as defined in claim 12 and which employs hydrogen and carbon dioxide.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are allowable over the prior art of record. The prior art does not disclose or suggest a process which includes producing particles by a thermal decomposition reaction of a source gas, and using those particles as a catalyst to inhibit the thermal decomposition reaction in conjunction with an inhibition component formed from a reaction inhibitor generating gas introduced in conjunction with the source gas.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>new central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZUMIERSK: PRIMARY EXAMINER

CRAILE 1700

Page 3

GPW July 18, 2006